

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/775,368	02/10/2004	Edward J. Stashluk JR.	067439.0161	9915	
5073 BAKER BOT	7590 05/19/201 FS.I.I.P	1	EXAM	UNER	
2001 ROSS AVENUE			NGUYEN, THUY-VI THI		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX	75201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3689		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/19/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	
Review	

Application/Control No.		Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/775,	368	STASHLUK ET	AL.	
		Art Unit		
THUY-VI NGUYEN		3689		

This is in	response to the	Pre-Anneal	Brief Reques	t for Review	filed 20	April 2011

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 20 April 2011.
 Improper Request – The Reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	ase continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains unc is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has beet der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applican leif in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date tole.
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5, 7-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from con	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) THUY-VI NGUYEN.	(3) <u>Jan Mooneyham</u>
(2) Robert Weinhardt.	. (4)
/Robert Weinhardt/ Business Practice Specialist, TC3600	/Janice A. Mooneyham/ /V. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3689

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20110511